UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,610	03/05/2007	Daniel Albert Berckmans	294-243 PCT/US	2036
	7590 08/18/201 & BARON, LLP	0	EXAMINER	
6900 JERICHO	TURNPIKE		ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE	
SYOSSET, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/567,610	BERCKMANS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yvonne R. Abbott	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ju</u>	ne 2010					
<i>i</i> —	/ 					
•	- ' '					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.	☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 14-29</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
· _						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group III (claims 12-15) in the reply filed on 6/24/10 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the word "said" is used in line 4. Further, the Abstract should be rewritten to better reflect the subject matter

Art Unit: 3644

now being prosecuted in independent Claim 12 (instead of withdrawn Claim 1).

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 14, and 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. In claim 14, "the holders" lacks prior antecedent basis.
- 7. In claim 20, it is unclear exactly what is being claimed (exactly what are the metes and bounds) by the phrase "according to directions obtained and determined after expertise".
- 8. In claim 21, "the climate conditions" lacks prior antecedent basis. Further in both Claims 21 and 22, it is unclear whether applicant is claiming an apparatus or a method since both are recited in the claim. Based on the preamble, and dependency on claim 15, for the purposes of this Action, Claim 21 is considered to be an apparatus claim and the method steps in claims 21-25 have not been considered. Claims 23-25 depend from claims 21 and 22.
- 9. In claim 26, "at least one of compartments and nests" lacks prior antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 12, 14-20 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hebrank (6427844). Hebrank discloses an apparatus for measuring, with infrared thermometers (37), temperatures of hatching eggs placed in nests of hatching trays which are arranged in an incubator; each individual thermometer contactlessly measures the temperature of a corresponding individual egg at regular points in time according to a pre-entered measurement scheme, wherein the measuring signals obtained control a temperature control regulation (col. 14, lines56-61); wherein the apparatus comprises a robot to automatically position the thermometers near the eggs; wherein the control model comprises an algorithm; and wherein the hatching out is controlled in by classification, sorting, and subsequent treatment by inoculation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3644

13. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hebrank ('844). Although Hebrank discloses that the thermometers (37) are calibrated, it is not specifically disclosed that they are in different hatching chambers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the sensors be calibrated in different chambers based on various stages of development of the eggs. As for claim 28, the specific number of eggs being examined would have been obvious depending on the size of the tray, or how many one wished to evaluate at one time as a matter of preference, and as a function of availability.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 15. Claims 21-26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (571) 272-6896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:30am-6:00pm.

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Collins can be reached on (571) 272-6886. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yvonne R. Abbott/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3644